STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

-4

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

Docket No. DT 09-136

UNION TELEPHONE COMPANY TRANSFER TO TDS TELECOMMUNICATIONS CORPORATION

> TESTIMONY OF DARREN WINSLOW ON BEHALF OF UNION TELEPHONE COMPANY

> > October 16, 2009

Witness and Company Background

1 Q. Please state your name and business address and by whom you are employed. 2 A. My name is Darren Winslow, my business address is 7 Central Street, Farmington, NH 3 03835, and I am employed by Union Telephone Company d/b/a Union Communications 4 (Union). 5 6 О. Please tell the Commission about your educational background. 7 A. I hold a Bachelor of Science Accounting degree from the University of Southern Maine 8 where I graduated with Summa Cum Laude honors. In addition, I am licensed as a 9 Certified Public Accountant in the State of Maine and have been licensed since October 10 13, 1994. 11 12 **Q**. Please tell the Commission about your work background prior to Union. 13 A. From 1992 though May 1997, I worked at Berry, Dunn, McNeil & Parker (BDMP). 14 CPAs in Portland, Maine. During my employment at BDMP I was involved in a variety 15 of financial statement audit, income tax and consulting engagements in several industries 16 including telecommunications, utilities, and financial institutions. My primary focus was in the telecommunications and utility industry working for clients in Maine, New 17 18 Hampshire and Vermont. I held the title of Senior Accountant, and my responsibilities 19 included managing and supervising audit and consulting engagements. Consulting engagements included costs studies, rate of return reviews, regulatory reporting, etc. 20

1 From May 1997 to December 1997, I was employed at American Skiing Company (ASC) 2 as the Director of Accounting – Vermont Operations. I supervised an accounting staff of 3 approximately 15 employees and I also was responsible for ASC's external reporting for 4 its consolidated operations and assisted in the production of ASC's initial public offering 5 process. 6 7 From December 1997 to June 2002, I was employed by MCT, Inc. d/b/a MCT Telecom 8 (MCT) in Contoocook, NH, which at the time owned two independent local exchange 9 companies (Merrimack County Telephone Company and Contoocook Valley Telephone 10 Company) as well as a cable television company and internet service company. As the 11 Accounting Manager and Controller, I was responsible for all aspects of MCT's accounting including financial statement preparation, cost studies, regulatory filings and 12 13 other general company matters. I assisted MCT with the merger transaction involving 14 TDS Telecommunications Corporation (TDS Telecom) that the Commission approved via its Order No. 23,961 and which closed in 2002. 15 16 17 Q. Please tell the Commission about your work background with Union and your 18 responsibilities. 19 A. In 2002, I joined Union Telephone Company as the Controller. I am responsible for all 20 aspects of Union's accounting, including financial statement preparation, costs studies, 21 regulatory filings, and other general company matters. 22

Q.	Please describe Union's business in New Hampshire.
A.	Union has operated as an independent local exchange carrier for over 100 years providing
	a variety of telecommunication services to its end user customers including local calling,
	intrastate toll, and customer calling features. Union also provides its access customers
	the ability to access Union's end users to provide toll, high capacity circuits and other
	wholesale related services.
	Union, in conjunction with its affiliates, also provides interstate toll, DSL broadband
	services and other telecommunication related services.
	Union operates in five New Hampshire exchanges: Alton, New Durham, Barnstead,
	Center Barnstead and Gilmanton Iron Works. Union has approximately 6,600 residential
	access lines and business access lines.
	Summary and Authority for Union's Proposal
Q.	Please describe the purpose of your testimony?
А.	The purpose of my testimony is to provide additional information regarding Union and
	TDS Telecom's filing made on July 31, 2009 for the transfer of Union's stock to TDS
	Telecom. I will also provide Union's position and support of TDS Telecom' prefiled
	testimony pursuant to the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission (PUC or
	Commission) Order No. 25,024 issued on October 8, 2009.
	А. Q.

1

Q. Do you support the transfer of control of Union stock to TDS?

A. Yes, I believe the transfer of control is in the best interest of all parties involved with
 Union, most importantly its customers. Union's management decided it no longer
 wanted to operate the business and TDS Telecom is a strong buyer interested in
 continuing to provide quality services to customers in Union's territory.

6

7 Q. Do you believe a transfer of control will adversely affect Union's customers?

8 No. TDS Telecom is a very experienced, financially secure and operationally strong A. 9 organization that already operates well over 100 ILECs throughout the country including 10 four New Hampshire (NH) incumbent local exchange carriers (ILECs). TDS Telecom 11 has a proven track record of successfully operating and transitioning acquired companies 12 such as Union including recent transactions in NH. TDS Telecom has operational 13 systems currently in place that are supporting all of its ILEC operations. TDS Telecom is 14 also committed to maintaining Union's existing NHPUC tariffs. Therefore, Union does 15 not believe the transfer of control will have an adverse impact on the rates, terms, service or operation of Union. In fact, Union believes customers will actually benefit from the 16 17 transfer of control based on TDS Telecom's vast experience and available operational 18 and financial resources.

19

20 Q. Have you experienced TDS's transition of an ILEC in NH?

A. I have experienced TDS Telecom's transition of a NH ILEC in both a professional and
 personal capacity. Prior to joining Union, I was employed by MCT, which TDS Telecom
 acquired in 2002. In addition, I was and still am a MCT customer now serviced under the

TDS Telecom corporate structure. During my professional and personal experience, I was not aware of any transition issues that adversely affected the rates, terms, service or operation of MCT.

4

3

1

2

5 Q. Has Union reviewed TDS Telecom's prefiled testimony related to Order No. 25,024 6 issued on October 8, 2009? Do you believe TDS Telecom has provided a sufficient 7 description of the transaction and its impact on customers, employees and 8 operational systems? Is the information accurate?

9 A. Yes, Union has reviewed TDS Telecom's prefiled testimony and believes the information is accurate to the best of our knowledge. TDS Telecom's testimony, along with the 10 11 parties' initial filing on July 31, 2009, provides a thorough description of the transaction 12 and transition process. As the plan describes, Union's customers should not experience 13 any adverse impact due to the transfer of control. TDS Telecom's plan for employee 14 transitions is similar to other mergers and it is to be expected that a complete plan for all employee transitions cannot be finalized at this time. Union understands TDS Telecom 15 16 may make some employee changes over time. However, Union believes TDS Telecom will treat employees fairly during the transition. TDS Telecom also has an adequate plan 17 to transition Union's operational systems to TDS Telecom's current operating systems. 18 19 Union does not believe any operational conversion process will have a negative impact on customers. As discussed above, TDS Telecom has a vast amount of experience 20 21 operating and transitioning companies like Union into its corporate culture and corporate structure. I believe that TDS Telecom's experience and its NH acquisition track record 22 23 are worth more than any written plan or testimony.

1

Q. Does 369:8 II (b) apply to this transfer of control?

A. Although this is a legal question, yes I do feel the provisions of this statute apply as
indicated by Attorneys Coolbroth and McDermott at the hearing on September 17, 2009.
The transaction involves the parent company of a New Hampshire public utility
transferring Union's stock to a holding company. Therefore the portion of the transaction
related to Union is not a purchase of assets.

7

8 Q. What, if any, effect should the Commission's determination of the proper statute to 9 review this transaction have on the timing of the Commission granting approval?

10 A. The determination of what the proper statute to review this transaction should not have any substantive effect on the timing of the Commission approving this transaction. With 11 the filing of the responses to the Commission's October 8th order, the notice of the 12 13 transaction should be deemed complete which, if the statute is deemed applicable, would 14 start a 60 day timeframe under 369:8 II (b). I believe and am hopeful that, regardless of 15 which statute is cited by the Commission, the Commission's review of this statute should 16 be expedited and approval should be granted well within 60 days from this filing. The Commission and the OCA were notified of the transaction on July 31, 2009 at which time 17 18 the Commission, staff and the OCA had an opportunity to review material on this 19 transaction. The Commission Staff and OCA subsequently requested more information 20 which was provided on the day of the initial hearing. After the hearing, both staff and the 21 OCA issued data requests that are being responded to as part of this filing. The 22 Commission itself has issued questions that it considered necessary for its consideration 23 of this transaction. Those questions have also been addressed so I believe that we are

already relatively far along in the review process. I acknowledge that some follow up 2 questions may be necessary but my belief is that process can be accomplished in a fairly short timeframe. I urge the Commission to act quickly and to approve an expedited 4 procedural schedule.

5

1

3

6

Q. Do you have anything else you would like to add to your testimony?

7 A. TDS Telecom has demonstrated through prior acquisitions in this state (and many others) 8 that such a transfer will not adversely affect Union's customers and we believe a timely 9 transfer of control approval is in the best interests of Union's customers, employees, and 10 all other parties affected by this transaction. In addition Union believes the transfer puts 11 Union and all its customers in a better long-term position to adapt to the future 12 competitive and regulatory environments.

13

14 I would like to note that three Bureaus of the Federal Communications Commission have 15 reviewed this transaction and found it to be in the public interest. Those Bureaus have 16 already approved this transaction.

17

18 Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

19 Yes it does. A.